

# Survey on Classifying Human Actions through Visual Sensors

Michael S. Del Rose<sup>1</sup>, Christian C. Wagner<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *U.S. Army Tank Automotive Research, Development, and Engineering Center (TARDEC), Warren, MI*

email: [mike.delrose@us.army.mil](mailto:mike.delrose@us.army.mil)

<sup>2</sup> *Oakland University, Rochester Hills, MI*

email: [wagner@oakland.edu](mailto:wagner@oakland.edu)

## Abstract

The ability to predict the intentions of people based solely on their visual actions is a skill only performed by humans and animals. This requires segmentation of items in the field of view, tracking of moving objects, identifying the importance of each object, determining the current role of each important object individually and in collaboration with other objects, relating these objects into a predefined scenario, assessing the selected scenario with the information retrieve, and finally adjusting the scenario to better fit the data. This is all accomplished with great accuracy in less than a few seconds.

The intelligence of current computer algorithms has not reached this level of complexity with the accuracy and time constraints that humans and animals have, but there are several research efforts that are working towards this by identifying new algorithms for solving parts of this problem.

This survey paper lists several of these efforts that rely mainly on understanding the image processing and classification of a limited number of actions. It divides the activities up into several groups and ends with a discussion of future needs.

*Keywords: visual human action classification, artificial intelligence, hidden markov model, grammars.*

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE <b>04 MAY 2011</b>		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED <b>00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011</b>	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>Survey On Classifying Human Actions Through Visual Sensors</b>				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <b>U.S. Army Tank Automotive Research,Development, and Engineering Center (TARDEC),Warren,MI,48093</b>				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited</b>					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES <b>Artificial Intelligence Review, May 4, 2011</b>					
14. ABSTRACT <b>The ability to predict the intentions of people based solely on their visual actions is a skill only performed by humans and animals. This requires segmentation of items in the field of view, tracking of moving objects, identifying the importance of each object, determining the current role of each important object individually and in collaboration with other objects, relating these objects into a predefined scenario, assessing the selected scenario with the information retrieve, and finally adjusting the scenario to better fit the data. This is all accomplished with great accuracy in less than a few seconds. The intelligence of current computer algorithms has not reached this level of complexity with the accuracy and time constraints that humans and animals have, but there are several research efforts that are working towards this by identifying new algorithms for solving parts of this problem. This survey paper lists several of these efforts that rely mainly on understanding the image processing and classification of a limited number of actions. It divides the activities up into several groups and ends with a discussion of future needs.</b>					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT <b>Same as Report (SAR)</b>	18. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>16</b>	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>			

## Introduction

Visual Human Action Recognition (VHAR) is an important and complex artificial and computation intelligence process used to help solve many understanding problems. If a system were to be developed to recognize violent activity in a subway station [13] then VHAR would be used to identify actions of each person shown in the field of view. These actions would be combined through other algorithms to determine hostility of people and possible warn operators of a violent action occurring. Despite the need for VHAR systems the research in this area has not increased to the level of maturity desired, unlike several other artificial and computationally intelligent areas which are very mature in development. Providing the framework for a robust VHAR algorithm is difficult when thinking about all the different types of possible actions the algorithm has to be able to handle. People performing the same action move in a variety of different ways, thus creating a non-deterministic class of actions; that is, there is no specific or deterministic way people move for a particular actions. Even the movement associated with a single action performed by the same person varies in the movements.

This paper will highlight several techniques used in VHAR research to include non-traditional artificial intelligence techniques, visual languages, statistical algorithms, and others. This paper is meant to be a survey of the current research being performed in the area of VHAR. The goal of this paper is to 1.) Educate on VHAR by showing a wide variety of innovative methods used to improve on specific areas of classification related to VHAR, and to 2.) Show how open the field of research is to new techniques that will improve VHAR types of classification systems. The papers referenced in this section represent a fairly complete list of ideas used to advance the area of VHAR and related fields of research.

## Non-Traditional Techniques

A large amount of research in VHAR uses visual cues of human actions without any traditional artificial or computational intelligent techniques [10, 12, 15, 17, 23, 25, 27, 31, 33, 34, 37, 43, 46, 47, 49, 50, 52, 55, 61, 62, 63]. These

algorithms rely on simplicity at the cost of fusing input data. They often use less than typical data inputs; that is, inputs that would not necessarily be used by human observers. They rely almost exclusively on the pre-processing of the data while using statistical or non-traditional artificial and computational intelligent algorithms to determine the behavior. M. Cristani et al. [15] uses both audio and visual data to determine simple events in an office. First they remove foreground objects and segment the images in the sequence. This output is coupled with the audio data and a threshold detection process is used to identify unusual events. The fused event sequences are then put into an audio visual concurrence matrix (AVC) to compare with known AVC events. Patterns of the events from the AVC are known and determine the classification of the action.

Many research projects in this area use their own form of plotting space-time data from the image sequences and calculating the closest distance to pre-determined or automatically determined events to decide what action the human is performing [10, 12, 16, 17, 19, 23, 25, 27, 30, 31, 34, 35, 43, 50, 55, 59]. M. Dimitrijevic et al. [17] developed a template database of actions based on five male and three female people. Each human action is represented by 3 frames of their 2D silhouette: the frame when the person first touches the ground with one of his/her feet, the frame at the midstride of the step, and the end frame when the person finishes touching the ground with the same foot. The three frame sets were taken from 7 camera positions. For classifying the action, they use a modified Chamfer's distance calculation to match to the template sequences in the database.

D. Weiland et al. [10] use motion history volumes to determine human gestures by extending the 2D pixel representation with time to a 3D representation with time. This is accomplished by using multiple cameras around the person and subtracting out any background information. Classes are created manually for each action or gesture. Mahalanobis distance with principle component analysis is used to identify action from the appropriate class.

The work from A. Mokhber et al. [23] also uses volumetric models to determine human actions. Features representative of the action sequence are extracted from the binary images and used to compute the space-time volumes. This is so people are seen globally. The volumes are formed from all the binary images in the action and are concatenated together in chronological order. These

volumes make up a feature vector of moments. Comparison of testing data into classes formed from the training data is by Mahalanobis distances.

Eigenspace is used to help categorize actions from distance computations which identify events by M. Rahman et al. [25]. They believe that these should be used since they are highly mathematical and require less image processing. Motion from a camera is captured and manually placed into classes and then finally into a covariance matrix. This makes up the universal image set for that action. Eigenvalues and eigenvectors are calculated and by using the Karhunen-Loeve technique the best ones that describe the action are kept. This makes up an orthogonal coordinate system. To recognize an unknown image sequence behavior, a distance measure is used for the calculated eigenvalues and eigenvectors onto the coordinate system. This study looked at five different cricket events with fairly good recognition.

Blackburn and Ribeiro [27] use manifolds from isomorphic feature mapping (Isomaps) to represent individual images of motion sequences. Isomaps are used to reduce the dimensionality of the image but keep most of the features required for classification. Scores for the manifolds are calculated and the curves are either stored (in training) or compared against classified events using Dynamic Time Warping (DTW). Classification is by nearest neighbor.

## **Traditional Artificial Intelligent Techniques**

Some of the traditional artificial and computational intelligence techniques are used for classifying human action, many with a spin towards specific motions [13, 19, 20, 26, 28, 35, 40, 42, 45, 58, 63]. J.-Y. Yang et al. [19] uses neural networks to determine human actions. They reduce the errors associated with normal human motion capturing by placing tags on body parts for tracking. They also strap a tri-axial accelerometer to the subject's wrist to monitor three degrees of motion on the specific body part. Tri-axial data is captured at pre-determined time intervals. This data is the input into a neural network specifically designed to determine if the event is static, like standing or sitting, or the event is dynamic, like walking and running. Once the event is determined to be either static or dynamic, another neural network is used on the same data, either a static event neural network or a dynamic event neural network, and the action is classified.

The results are promising for the limited actions the system is designed to detect: standing, sitting, walking, running, vacuuming, scrubbing, brushing teeth, and working on a computer.

Rule based and fuzzy systems are a few other common types of artificial and computational intelligent technique used to identify patterns and have been adapted to analyze human events [13, 16, 47]. H. Stern et al. [16] created a prototype fuzzy system for picture understanding of surveillance cameras. His model is split into three parts, pre-processing module, a static object fuzzy system module, and a dynamic temporal fuzzy system module. The static fuzzy system module takes in the pre-processed data and outputs the number of people involved in the scene: a single person, two people, three people, many people, or no people. The dynamic fuzzy system determines the intent of the person, or people, based on their global temporal movements. Although this requires only a basic understanding of human intent by using global movements of people and their interactions based on global positions, it is included in many application research programs within the U.S. Department of Defense: Near Autonomous Unmanned System [84], Army Research Lab Collaborative Technology Alliance [85], and Mobile Detection Assessment and Response System [86].

## **Markov Models and Bayesian Networks**

Developing Markov models or Bayesian networks are common approaches to VHAR research. This research path fits the logical approach of having a sequence of images making up an action. Each sequence image is looked at together with its consecutive image; similar to how a human recognizes actions. There are several ways to develop a network from the input data [1, 8, 14, 18, 19, 30, 36, 38, 42, 46, 48, 57]. In the work of Du, Chen, and Xu [1], they use a Coupled Hierarchical Durational – State Dynamic Bayesian Network (CHDS-DBN) to model human actions. They claim that to understand human actions, frameworks should have both motion corresponding to the interaction as well as details of the motion on different scales. For the most part, research did not include interaction with other people as a determinate in understanding intent of a single person. Most work is on motion characteristics of the individual alone. This approach adds a decision base to normal action identification.

The work by A. Galata et al. [8] uses variable length Markov models for human action recognition. They claim that using variable length Markov models provide a more efficient way to represent behaviors that are more flexible than other common classification models for large temporal scale input data.

## Grammars

In constructing networks, many VHAR research uses grammars [5, 22, 37, 41, 44, 50, 52, 56, 57, 58, 59, 74] to best describe the sequence of events the body makes when determining the actions of the person visually. Grammars are mathematical based and seem to fit well with visual action understanding due to their network fashion of solving problems. A. Ogale et al. [22] uses probabilistic context free grammars (PCFG) in short action sequences of a person from video. Body poses are stored as silhouettes which are used in the construction of the PCFG. Pairs of frames are constructed based on their time slot: the body pose from frame 1 and 2 are paired, the body pose from frame 2 and 3 are paired, and so on. These pairs construct the PCFG for the given action. When testing the algorithm, the same procedure is followed. Comparing the testing data with the trained data is accomplished through Bayes:  $P(s_k|p_i) = P(p_i|s_k)P(s_k)/P(p_i)$ , where  $s_k$  is the  $k^{\text{th}}$  silhouette and  $p_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  pose.

The work from Starner, Weaver, and Pentland [5] also use grammars in constructing their network. Phrase grammars were used to distinguish the type of action from hand signals that can be networked together to form the meaning of a sentence signed using American Sign Language. In this case, phrase grammars limit the search set of words to improve the accuracy of what is being described. They also speed up the process over not using grammars. A Hidden Markov Model is used to train and test the data.

## Traditional Hidden Markov Models

Of all the visual human action recognition networks constructed, Hidden Markov Models (HMM) are the most widely used [3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 20, 21, 24, 26, 38, 45, 48, 51, 64, 80]. Hidden Markov Models keep a network of body poses related to each other and provided a way of learning parameters that best fit a set

of training data with known classifications. Yamato et al. [3] use HMMs to recognize six tennis strokes with a 25x25 mesh feature matrix to describe body positions in each frame. Campbell, Becker, and Azarbayejani [4] used HMMs to recognize eighteen Tai Chi moves. Each move was represented by a series of vectors formed by the 3D position of the head and the hands. Yu and Ballard [9] use HMMs to distinguish similar action based on head and eye movements. The work of Lee and Kim [7] shows how to use HMMs for gesture recognition. A threshold model is built to provide dynamic threshold values to distinguish between meaningful and meaningless gestures. If the action is within a pre-defined threshold then it is considered a meaningful action. HMMs are used to train and test the predefined gestures. Gehrig and Schulz [24] used HMMs to recognize ten kitchen actions based on the movement of twenty four points on the upper body. They looked at skeletal data and calculated the correct movements of people and reduce the number of body parts down to thirteen with similar results. Gao et al. [26] used both Optical Flow Tensors (OFT) and HMMs to distinguish basketball shot actions from video. Optical flow fields are modeled from the video frames at several resolutions and a tensor is built, this is the OFT. Reducing the dimensionality of the data is accomplished by first applying a general Tensor Discriminate Analysis Function then a Linear Discriminate Analysis function. An HMM is used to train and test the final features.

## **Non-Traditional Hidden Markov Models**

Other forms of HMMs have been developed to handle more specific problems associated with HMM based action recognition systems [2, 6, 11, 14, 18, 20, 21, 28, 39, 51, 54, 60, 66, 70, 76, 77, 79, 81]. Wilson and Bobick [6] use a Parametric Hidden Markov Model (PHMM) to recognize gestures. The PHMM has an additional parameter used to represent meaningful variations of gestures across the set of all gestures. This gives PHMMs the ability to distinguish between gesture meanings with similar hand movements.

Oliver et al. [11] developed a real time system that detects and classifies interactions between people using a Coupled Hidden Markov Model (CHMM). They used synthetic environments to model person to person interactions and thus creating their CHMM. Data from a static camera was used and moving objects



were segmented and tracked. Data describing the location, heading, and relative location to other people were inputted into the synthetically created CHMM for analysis and classification of the interaction type. Results show they outperformed standard HMMs. This is not a far stretch since standard HMMs work on single automatons where CHMMs work on coupled automatons, thus HMMs cannot outperform CHMMs in this particular environment.

Multi-Observation Hidden Markov Models (MOHMM) are discussed in both [28] and [14] from Xaing and Gong. In [28] they use MOHMMs to create breakpoints in the video content of an activity. Blobs above a certain threshold in each frame are segmented from the pixel change history. Several functions of these blobs are used in the feature vector to classify the video with the MOHMM. In [14] an MOHMM was used to detect piggybacking of people off someone else's security card to open a secured, card access only door. Piggybacking is when someone follows another person through a security door without using his/her security card to open it. The framework of the system allowed for continual changes based on changes in peoples' movements, thus unsupervised learning is used to continually update the model.

Gong and Xiang [18] developed a Dynamic Multi-Linked HMM (DML-HMM) to recognize group activity from an outdoor scene. The DML-HMM is based on salient dynamic inter-linkages among multiple temporal events using Dynamic Probabilistic Networks (DPN). Standard HMMs cannot take into account the multiple processes needed. The DML-HMM was designed to handle the multitude of different object events. The topology is determined by the causality and temporal order, which was automatically made using the Schwarz Bayesian Information Criterion based factorization. They claimed that instead of being fully connected like Coupled HMMs (CHMM), the DHL-HMM aims to only connect a subset of relevant hidden state variables across multiple temporal processes. When comparing between a Multi-Observation HMM (MOHMM), a Parallel HMM (PaHMM), and a CHMM, the DHL-HMM performs better since the CHMM and the MOHMM propagates the noise through the systems and the PaHMM discards correlations between multiple temporal processes.

Continuous HMMs (cHMMs) are used in the work of Antonakaki et al. [20]. Their work classifies abnormal behavior of people based on both their short term behavior and the global trajectory of each subject. A short term behavior is a

behavior that can be classified in twenty five frames, or one second. A one class support vector machine (SVM) is used to distinguish abnormal behavior from the short term behavior sequence. For trajectory data, a one class cHMM is used to determine if the person's movement is abnormal. Both are used to determine the final results.

Layered Hidden Markov Models (LHMMs) are used in Oliver et al. [21] to detect specific activities in an office environment. They employ a two level cascade of HMMs with three processing layers. The first layer captures video, audio and keyboard/mouse activity to create the first level feature vector. The middle layer has two HMMs, one for creating an audio feature vector and one for creating a video feature vector. The top layer uses the results of these HMMs along with keyboard/mouse activity and the derivative of the sound localization component as the final feature vector. The results from this top layer determine the activity in the office. They claim the LHMM makes it feasible to decouple different levels of analysis for training and inferences. By using a single HMM it would need a large parameter space, thus need a large amount of data to train. Also, a single HMM would not be robust enough to move to a different office without retraining, unlike the LHMM claims.

Liu and Chua [2] use Observation Decomposed Hidden Markov Model (ODHMM) to model and classify multiple people's activities. They state that to automatically recognize multi agents (person, extremity, or object) is very challenging due to the complexity of interactions between agents. This complexity stems from the large dimensionality of the feature vectors and the complex mapping of agents from input data to pre-defined activity models. To handle this problem, they decompose each feature vector into a set of sub-feature vectors for the ODHMM.

Del Rose et al. [70] developed the Evidence Feed Forward HMM to better identify patterns in the observation data for identification of actions. This is a divergence from common HMM theory which would normally assume that observation to observation linkages upsets the rule of causality of the system. However, this is not the case since these linkages are viewed as another probability associated with the closed system and offer better results than other HMMs.

# Summary of Visual Human Intent Analysis

## Development

Across all the previous research, several common requirements stand out.

- Detect and segment objects in each frame
- Determine relative position and orientation of each object
- Identify a meaningful sequence of frames from the visual input
- Store and retrieve past sequence of behaviors for identification of current ones.

To detect and segment out objects in each frame, there needs to be a well developed image processing set of tools. If the goal is to identify the arm/hand movements like in [5] to classify American Sign Language words from visual identification of the hands, then the image processing is very important. Any misprocessing of the input data that goes into the classifier may cause inaccuracy.

Determining the relative position and orientation of the object also requires good image processing techniques. In some instances, just the movement is important and not the exact location from frame to frame, as in [11]. This case requires less analysis of the processed input data into the classifier than, say, [10] where body orientation is important to match with preselected human actions from several angles.

To identify a meaningful sequence of frames, stops should be placed before and after each interesting area. There is a large amount of research just on finding separations in actions. If, for example, HMMs are to be used and codebooks are required to identify common actions then having equal length action sequences for comparison is important. This would require either adding to the processed sequence several inputs or subtracting parts in the middle which require little attention, like slow movements. Either way, the intelligence of the algorithm would have to greatly increased which requires a lot of work in automating. In [17], they have taken out three frames that describe the action from a small set. To automate this process, it requires a lot of image processing to correctly identify the starting, middle and ending location of a person's stride.

Identification of sequence could also mean sequences that have no visual information past on, like in [27]. They have identified a way of processing the visual information to a point where only a few values represent the sequence. The

reader is caution that the further away the data is from its original values, the more errors are introduced in the system.

Finally, to store past behaviors, there must be knowledge of the behavior. In intelligent systems, this is usually done through learning; however, it can also be done through human intervention. If human intervention is performed on setting up parameters for known behaviors, then often times patterns that are sometimes found through the learning process is missed, thus causing misclassification of actions. It is suggested that a combination of both computer learning and human interaction is used. This requires heavy analysis on the training and testing data to completely encompass the range of each activity being performed, a large data set to perform several iterations of training and testing of data, and in-depth knowledge of the minor facets of the action. Once the user has concluded that the training data is complete and the baseline action sequences are stored, then to retrieve them takes nothing more than a comparison of a newly processed action to the most likely candidate stored.

## **Conclusion**

Much of the human brain is set aside for processing the visual sense. As computing power has continually increased, and as ever great push is made for efficiencies in business and government, letting automated computers perform heretofore human visual tasks could lead to great efficiencies and improvements. Visual Human Intent Analysis (VHIA) is a wide open field of research with several different methods that relate to individual solutions, like identifying hand gestures, understanding different tennis strokes, identifying office activities, and many others. Each method described above plays on the solution's strengths and weaknesses whether it is simplified classification with heavy pre-processing or a more intelligent decision system. The wide range of methods demonstrates the openness to new and innovative solutions that are catered to one's own problem.

However, with all the different techniques there are four common tasks which every VHIA systems must perform: detect and segment objects in each frame, determine relative position and orientation of each object, identify a meaningful sequence of frames from the visual input, and store and retrieve past sequence of behaviors for identification of current ones. These tasks are performed in

different ways depending on the type of classification system. They require a lot of image processing, analysis on the input data, and in-depth knowledge of the actions with respect to the processed data.

## References

- [1] Du, Y., Chen, F., Xu, W., "Human Interaction Representation and Recognition Through Motion Decomposition," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, Vol. 14, No. 12, Dec. 2007, pp. 952-955.
- [2] Liu, X., Chua, C.S., "Multi-agent Activity Recognition Using Observation Decomposed Hidden Markov Models," *Image and Vision Computing*, Vol. 24, Feb. 2006, pp. 166-175.
- [3] Yamato, J., Ohya, J., Ishii, K., "Recognizing Human Action in Time Sequential Images Using Hidden Markov Models," *Proceedings from IEEE Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 1992, pp. 379-385.
- [4] Campbell, L., Becker, D., Azarbayejani, A., "Invariant Features for 3-D Jester Recognition," *Proceedings from IEEE Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (AFGR)*, 1996, pp. 157-162.
- [5] Starner, T., Weaver, J., Pentland, A., "Real Time American Sign Language Recognition Using Desk and Wearable Computer Based Video," *IEEE Transaction on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (PAMI)*, Vol. 20, 1998, pp. 1371-1375.
- [6] Wilson, A., Bobick, A., "Parametric Hidden Markov Models for Gesture Recognition," *IEEE Transaction on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (PAMI)*, Vol. 21, 1999, pp. 884-899.
- [7] Lee, H., Kim, J. H. "An HMM Based Threshold Model Approach for Gesture Recognition," *IEEE Transaction on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (PAMI)*, Vol. 21, 1999, pp. 961-973.
- [8] Galata, A., Johnson, N., Hogg, D., "Learning Variable Length Markov Models of Behaviour," *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, Vol. 81, 2001, pp. 398-413.
- [9] Yu, C., Ballard, D., "Learning to Recognize Human Action Sequences," *Proceedings from International Conference on Development and Learning*, 2002, pp. 28-33.
- [10] Weinland, D., Ronfard, R., Boyer, E., "Motion History Volumes for Free Viewpoint Action Recognition," *Proceedings from IEEE International Workshop on Modeling People and Human Interaction*, 2005
- [11] Oliver, N. M., Rosario, B., Pentland, A. P., "A Bayesian Computer Vision System for Modeling Human Interaction," *IEEE Transactions in Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, Vol. 22, No. 8, Aug. 2000, pp. 831-843.
- [12] Jang, W. S., Lee, W. K., Lee, I. K., Lee, J., "Enriching a Motion Database by Analogous Combination of Partial Human Motion," *The Visual Computer*, Vol. 24, No. 4, Springer, Berlin, April 2008, pp. 271-280.
- [13] Siebel, N. T., Maybank, S. J. "The ADVISOR Visual Surveillance System," *Proceedings from Applications of Computer Vision*, 2004, pp. 103-111.
- [14] Xiang, T., Gong, S., "Incremental Visual Behaviour Modelling," *Proceedings from European Conference on Computer Vision*, 2006, pp. 65-72.
- [15] Cristani, M., Bicego, M., Murino, V., "Audio-Visual Event Recognition in Surveillance Video Sequences," *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, Vol. 9, No. 2, Feb. 2007, pp. 257-267.
- [16] Stern, H., Kartoun, U., Shmilovici, A., "A Prototype Fuzzy System for Surveillance Picture Understanding," *Proceedings from Visual Imaging and Image Processing Conference*, Sept 2001, pp. 624-629.
- [17] Dimitrijevic, M., Lepetit, V., Fua, P., "Human Body Pose Detection Using Bayesian Spatio-Temporal Templates," *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, Vol. 104, No. 2, 2006, pp.127-139.
- [18] Gong, S., Xiang, T., "Recognition of Group Activity using Dynamic Probabilistic Networks," *Proceedings from International Conference in Computer Vision*, 2003, pp. 742-749.
- [19] Yang, J. Y., Wang, J. S., Chen, Y. P., "Using Acceleration Measurements for Activity Recognition: an Effective Learning Algorithm for Constructing Neural Classifiers," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, Vol. 29, 2008, pp. 2213-2220.
- [20] Antonakaki, P., Kosmopoulos, D., Perantonis, S. J., "Detecting Abnormal Human Behaviour Using Multiple Cameras," *Signal Processing Journal*, Vol. 89, 2009, pp. 1723 – 1738

- [21] Oliver, N., Horvitz, E., Garg, A., "Layered Representations for Human Activity Recognition," *Proceedings from IEEE International Conference on Multimodal Inferences (ICMI)*, 2002, pp. 3-8.
- [22] Ogale, A., Karapurkar, A., Aloimonos, Y., "View-Invariant Modeling and Recognition of Human Actions Using Grammars," *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Vol. 4358, Springer, Berlin, 2007, pp.115-126, doi:10.1007/978-3-540-70932-9\_9
- [23] Mokhber, A., Achard, C., Milgram, M., "Recognition of Human Behavior by Space-Time Silhouette Characterization," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, Vol. 29, 2008, pp 81-89.
- [24] Gehrig, D., Schulz, T., "Selecting Relevant Features for Human Motion Recognition," *Proceedings from International Conference on Pattern Recognition*, 2008, pp. 1-4, doi:10.1109/ICPR.2008.4761290
- [25] Rahman, M., Nakamura, K., Ishikawa, S., "Recognizing Human Behavior Using Universal Eigenspace," *Proceedings from International Conference on Pattern Recognition*, 2002, pp. 295-298, doi:10-1109/ICPR.2002.1044694
- [26] Gao, X., Yang, Y., Tao, D., Li, X., "Discriminative Optical Flow Tensor for Video Semantic Analysis," *Computer Image and Understanding*, Vol. 113, No. 3, 2009, pp. 372-383.
- [27] Blackburn, J., Ribeiro, E., "Human Motion Recognition Using Isomap and Dynamic Time Warping," *Lecture Notes in Pattern Recognition*, Vol. 4814, Springer, Berlin, 2007, pp. 285-298, doi:10-1007/978-3-540-75703-0.
- [28] Xiang, T., Gong, S., "Activity Based Video Content Trajectory Representation and Segmentations," *Proceedings from British Machine Vision Conference*, 2004, pp. 177-186.
- [29] Shah, M., "Understanding Human Behavior from Motion Imagery," *Machine Vision and Application*, Vol. 14, No. 4, Springer, Berlin, Sept. 2003, pp. 210-214, doi:10.1007/s00138.0003-0124-3.
- [30] Walter, M., Psarrou, A., Gong, S., "Data Driven Gesture Model Acquisition Using Minimum Description Length," *Proceeds From British Machine Vision Conference*, 2001, pp. 673-683.
- [31] Ben-Arie, J., Wang, Z., Pandit, P., Rajaram, S., "Human Activity Recognition Using Multidimensional Indexing," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Vision (PAMI)*, Vol. 24, No. 8, Aug. 2002, pp. 1091-1104, doi:10.1109/TPAMI.2002.1023805
- [32] Morellas, V., Pavlidis, I., Tsaimyartzis, P., "DETER: Detection of Events for Threat Evaluation and Recognition," *Machine Vision and Application Journal*, Vol. 15, No. 1, 2003, pp. 29-45.
- [33] Masoud, O., Papanikolopoulos, N.P., "A Method for Human Action Recognition," *Image and Vision Computing*, Vol. 21, No. 8, 2003, pp. 729 – 723.
- [34] Parameswaran, V., Chellappa, R., "View Invariance for Human Action Recognition," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, Vol. 66, No. 1, 2006, pp. 83-101.
- [35] Oikonomopoulos, A., Patras, I., Pantic, M., "Kernal-Based Recognition of Human Actions Using Spatiotemporal Salient Points," *Proceedings from Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Conference*, 2006, pp.151-161, doi:10.1109/CVPRW.2006.114.
- [36] Truyen, T. T., Phung, D. Q., Venkatesh, S., Bui, H. H., "AdaBoost.MRF: Boosted Markov Random Forests and Application to Multilevel Activity Recognition," *Proceedings from Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Conference*, 2006, pp.1686-1693, doi:10.1109/CVPR.2006.49
- [37] Oikonomopoulos, A., Pantic, M., Patras, I., "B-Spline Polynomial Descriptors for Human Activity Recognition," *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Conference*, 2008, pp. 1-6, doi:10.1109/CVPR.2008.4563175.
- [38] Robertson, N., Reid, I. D., "A General Method for Human Activity Recognition in Video," *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, Vol. 104, No. 2, 2006, pp. 232-248.
- [39] Kawanaka, D., Okatani, T., Deguchi, K., "HHMM Based Recognition of Human Activity," *Institute of Electronics, Information and Communication Engineers Transactions, Oxford Journals*, Vol. E89-D, No. 7, 2006, pp. 2180-2185.
- [40] Schuldts, C., Laptev, I., Caputo, B., "Recognizing Human Actions: a Local SVM Approach," *Proceedings from International Conference on Pattern Recognition*, Vol. 3, 2004, pp. 32-36, doi:10.1109/ICPR.2004.1334462.
- [41] Mikolajczyk, K., Uemura, H., "Action Recognition with Motion-Appearance Vocabulary Forest," *Proceedings from Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2008, pp. 1-8, doi:10.1109/CVPR.2008.4587628.
- [42] Ikizler, N., Duygulu, P., "Human Action Recognition Using Distribution of Oriented Rectangular Patches," *Journal of Human Motion*, 2007, pp. 271-284.
- [43] Ikizler, N., Cinbis, R. G., Duygulu, P., "Human Action Recognition with Line and Flow Histograms," *Proceedings from International Conference on Pattern Recognition*, 2008, pp. 1-4, doi:10.1109/ICPR.2008.4671434.
- [44] Wang, Y., Mori, G., "Human Action Recognition by Semilantent Topic Models," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (PAMI)*, Vol. 31, No. 10, 2009, pp. 1762-1774.

- [45] Perez, O., Piccardi, M., Garcia, J., Patricio, M. A., Molina, J. M., "Comparison Between Genetic Algorithms and the Baum-Welch Algorithm in Learning HMMs for Human Activity Classification," *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Vol. 4448, Springer, Berlin, 2007, pp. 399-406.
- [46] Han, L., Liange, W., Wu, X. X., Jia, Y. D., "Human Action Recognition Using Discriminative Models in the Learned Hierarchical Manifold Space," *Proceedings from IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition*, 2008, pp. 1-6, doi:10.1109/AFGR.2008.4813416.
- [47] Herrera, A., Beck, A., Bell, D., Miller, P., Wu, Q., Yan, W., "Behaviour Analysis and Prediction in Image Sequences Using Rough Sets," *Proceedings from International Machine Vision and Image Processing Conference*, 2008, pp. 71-76, doi:10.1109/IMVIP.2008.24.
- [48] Shi, Q.F., Wang, L., Cheng, L., Smola, A., "Discriminative Human Action Segmentation and Recognition Using Semi-Markov Model," *Proceedings from Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Conference*, 2008, pp. 1-8, doi:10.1109/CVPR.2008.4587557.
- [49] Rodriguez, M. D., Ahmed, J., Shah, M., "Action MACH a Spatio-Temporal Maximum Average Correlation Height Filter for Action Recognition," *Proceedings from Computer Vision and Image Processing Conference*, 2008, pp. 1-8, doi:10.1109/CVPR.2008.4587727.
- [50] Liu, J. G., Yang, Y., Shah, M., "Learning Semantic Visual Vocabularies Using Diffusion Distance," *Proceedings from Computer Vision and Image Processing Conference*, 2009, pp. 461-468, doi:10.1109/CVPRW.2009.5206848.
- [51] Chakraborty, B., Rudovic, O., Gonzalez, J., "View Invariant Human Body Detection with Extension to Human Action Recognition Using Component-wise HMM of Body Parts," *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Vol. 5098, 2008, pp. 208-217, doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-70517-8\_20
- [52] Colombo, C., Comanducci, D., Bimbo, A., "Compact Representation and Probabilistic Classification of Human Actions in Videos," *Proceedings from IEEE Conference on Advanced Video and Signal Based Surveillance*, 2007, pp. 342-346, doi: 10.1109/AVSS.2007.4425334.
- [53] Thureau, C., Hlavac, V., "n-Grams of Action Primitives for Recognizing Human Behavior," *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Vol. 4673, Springer, Berlin, 2007, pp. 93-100.
- [54] Herzog, D.L., Kruger, V., "Recognition and Synthesis of Human Movements by Parametric HMMs," *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Vol. 5064, Springer, Berlin, 2009, pp. 148-168, doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-03061-1\_8
- [55] Wang, Y., Huang, K. Q., Tan, T. N., "Group Activity Recognition Based on ARMA Shape Sequence Modeling," *Proceedings from International Conference on Image Processing*, Vol. 3, 2007, pp. 209-212, doi:10.1109/ICIP.2007.4379283.
- [56] Jenkins, O.C., Gonzalez, G., Loper, M., "Dynamic Motion Vocabularies for Kinematic Tracking and Activity Recognition," *Proceedings from Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Conference*, 2006, pp. 147-156, doi:10.1109/CVPRW.2006.67.
- [57] Yamamoto, M., Mitomi, H., Fujiwara, F., Sato, T., "Bayesian Classification of Task-Oriented Actions Based on Stochastic Context Free Grammar," *Proceedings from IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition*, 2006, pp. 317-322, doi:10.1109/FGR.2006.28.
- [58] Kitani, K.M., Okabe, T., Sato, Y., Sugimoto, A., "Recovering the Basic Structure of Human Activity from a Video-Based Symbol String," *Proceedings from IEEE Workshop on Motion and Video Computing*, 2007, pp. 1-9, doi:10.1109/WMVC.2007.34.
- [59] Batra, D., Chen, T. H., Sukthankar, R., "Space-Time Shapelets for Action Recognition," *Proceedings from IEEE Workshop on Motion and Video Computing*, 2008, pp. 1-6, doi:10.1109/WMVC.2008.4544051.
- [60] Mori, T., Segawa, Y., Shimosaka, M., Sato, T., "Hierarchical Recognition of Daily Human Actions Based on Continuous Hidden Markov Models," *Proceedings from IEEE Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition*, 2004, pp.779-784, doi:10.1109/AFGR.2004.1301629.
- [61] Kam, A. H., Ann, T. K., Lung, E. H., Yun, Y. W., Wang, J. X., "Automated Recognition of Highly Complex Human Behavior," *Proceedings from International Conference on Pattern Recognition*, Vol. 4, 2004, pp. 327-330, doi:10.1109/ICPR.2004.1333769.
- [62] Gao, J., Collins, R. T., Hauptmann, A. G., Wactlar, H. D., "Articulated Motion Modeling for Activity Analysis," *Proceedings from International Conference on Image and Video Retrieval*, 2004, pp. 1-19.
- [63] Chomat, O., Crowley, J. L., "A Probabilistic Sensor for the Perception of Activities," *Proceedings from IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and gesture Recognition*, 2000, pp. 314-319.

- [64] Babu, R. V., Anantharaman, B., Ramakrishnan, K. R., Srinivasan, S. H., "Compressed Domain Action Classification Using HMM," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, Vol. 23, No. 10, Aug. 2002, pp. 1203-1213, doi:10.1016/S01167.8655(02)00067-3.
- [65] Ghayoori, A., Hendessi, F., Sheikh, A., "Application of Smooth Ergodic Hidden Markov Model in Text to Speech Systems," *International Journal of Signal Processing*, Vol. 2, No. 3, 2006, pp. 151-157.
- [66] Brand, M., Oliver, N., Pentland, A., "Coupled Hidden Markov Models for Complex Action Recognition," *Proceedings from Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Conference (CVPR)*, 1997, pp. 994-999.
- [67] Li, X., Parizeau, M., Plamondon, R., "Training Hidden Markov Models with Multiple Observations – A Combinatorial Method," *IEEE Transaction on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (PAMI)*, Vol. 22, No.4, 2000, pp. 371-177.
- [68] Baum, L., "An Inequality and Associated Maximization Technique in Statistical Estimation for Probabilistic Functions of Markov Processes," *Inequalities*, Vol. 3, 1972, pp. 1-8.
- [69] Fisher Iris data set website, <http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Iris>
- [70] Del Rose, M., Wagner, C., Frederick, P., "Evidence Feed Forward Hidden Markov Model: A New Type of Hidden Markov Model," *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Application*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2011, pp.1-19, doi:10.121.
- [71] Rabiner, L., "A Tutorial on Hidden Markov Models and Selected Applications in Speech Recognition," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, Vol. 7, 1989, pp.257-286
- [72] Petrushin, V., "Hidden Markov Models: Fundamentals and Application," *EETimes Online Symposium for Electrical Engineers (OSEE)*, Oct, 2007
- [73] Bui, H., Phung, D., Venkatesh, S., "Hierarchical Hidden Markov Models with General State Hierarchy," *Proceedings of the Nineteenth National Conference of Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 324-329, 2004
- [74] Bouchaffra, D., Tan, J., "Structural Hidden Markov Models Based on Stochastic Context-Free Grammars," *Control and Intelligent Systems*, Vol. 35, Issue 3, 2007, pp. 211-216
- [75] Murphy, K., "Hidden semi-Markov models," Technical report, *MIT AI Lab*, 2002
- [76] Zhang, D., Gatica-Perez, D., Bengio, S., McCowan, I., "Modeling Individual and Group Actions in Meetings with Layered HMMs," *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, Vol. 8, No. 3, 2006, pp. 509-520
- [77] Fin, S., Singer, Y., Tishby, N., "The Hierarchical Hidden Markov Model: Analysis and Application," *Machine Learning*, Vol. 32, 1998, pp. 41-62
- [78] Hassan, R., Nath, B., "Stock Market Forecasting sing Hidden Markov Model: A New Approach," *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Intelligent Systems Design and Application*, 2005
- [79] Natarajan, P., Nevatia, R., "Coupled Hidden Semi Markov Models for Activity Recognition," *Proceedings of the IEEE Workshop on Motion and Video Computing*, 2007
- [80] Hassan, R., Nath, B., Kirley, M., "A Data Clustering Algorithm Based on Single Hidden Markov Model," *Proceedings of the International multi-conference on Computer Science and Information Technology*, 2006, pp 57-66
- [81] Herzog, D., Kruger, V., Grest, D., "Parametric Hidden Markov Models for Recognition on Synthesis of Movements," *Proceedings of the British Machine Vision Conference*, 2008
- [82] Bilmes, J. "A Gentle Tutorial of the EM Algorithm and its Application to Parameter Estimation for Gaussian Mixture and Hidden Markov Models," *Technical report TR-97-021*, University of Berkeley, 1998
- [83] DARPA Mind's Eye Broad Agency Announcement, *DARPA-BAA-10-53*, 2010  
[www.darpa.mil/tcto/docs/DARPA\\_ME\\_BAA-10-53\\_Mod1.pdf](http://www.darpa.mil/tcto/docs/DARPA_ME_BAA-10-53_Mod1.pdf)
- [84] Del Rose, M., Stein, J., "Survivability on the ART Robotic Vehicle," *Proceedings from the Seventeenth Ground Vehicle Survivability Symposium*, 2006
- [85] Army Research Lab (ARL), Collaborative Technology Alliance (CTA):  
[www.arl.army.mil/www/default.cfm?page=392](http://www.arl.army.mil/www/default.cfm?page=392)
- [86] Mobile Detection Assessment and Response System (MDARS), [www.spawars.navy.mil/robots/land/mdars/mdars.html](http://www.spawars.navy.mil/robots/land/mdars/mdars.html)